1	Laura Vartain Horn (SBN 258485) KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP	
2	555 California Street, Suite 2700 San Francisco, CA 94104	
3	Telephone: (415) 439-1625	
4	laura.vartain@kirkland.com	
5	Jessica Davidson (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) Christopher D. Cox (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP	
6	601 Lexington Avenue	
7	New York, NY 10022 Telephone: (212) 446-4800	
8	jessica.davidson@kirkland.com christopher.cox@kirkland.com	
9	Allison M. Brown (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP	
10	2005 Market Street, Suite 1000 Philadelphia, PA 19103	
11	Telephone: (215) 268-5000 alli.brown@kirkland.com	
12	Attorneys for Defendants	_
13	UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., RASIER, LLC and RASIER-CA, LLC	<i>Σ</i> ,
14 15		
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
17		
10	SAN FRAN	NCISCO DIVISION
18	IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,	Case No. 3:23-md-03084-CRB (LJC)
19		Case No. 3:23-md-03084-CRB (LJC) DECLARATION OF CHRISTOPHER V.
19 20	IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT LITIGATION	Case No. 3:23-md-03084-CRB (LJC) DECLARATION OF CHRISTOPHER V. COTTON IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO SEAL
19 20 21	IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT LITIGATION This Document Relates to:	Case No. 3:23-md-03084-CRB (LJC) DECLARATION OF CHRISTOPHER V. COTTON IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO SEAL PERSONAL IDENTIFYING INFORMATION AND INFORMATION DESIGNATED AS
19 20 21 22	IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT LITIGATION	Case No. 3:23-md-03084-CRB (LJC) DECLARATION OF CHRISTOPHER V. COTTON IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO SEAL PERSONAL IDENTIFYING INFORMATION AND INFORMATION DESIGNATED AS CONFIDENTIAL PURSUANT TO ECF 176 CONTAINED IN DEFENDANTS' REPLY IN
19 20 21	IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT LITIGATION This Document Relates to:	Case No. 3:23-md-03084-CRB (LJC) DECLARATION OF CHRISTOPHER V. COTTON IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO SEAL PERSONAL IDENTIFYING INFORMATION AND INFORMATION DESIGNATED AS CONFIDENTIAL PURSUANT TO ECF 176
19 20 21 22 23 24 25	IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT LITIGATION This Document Relates to:	Case No. 3:23-md-03084-CRB (LJC) DECLARATION OF CHRISTOPHER V. COTTON IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO SEAL PERSONAL IDENTIFYING INFORMATION AND INFORMATION DESIGNATED AS CONFIDENTIAL PURSUANT TO ECF 176 CONTAINED IN DEFENDANTS' REPLY IN SUPPORT OF UBER'S MOTION REGARDING FRAUDULENT PLAINTIFF
19 20 21 22 23 24	IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT LITIGATION This Document Relates to:	Case No. 3:23-md-03084-CRB (LJC) DECLARATION OF CHRISTOPHER V. COTTON IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO SEAL PERSONAL IDENTIFYING INFORMATION AND INFORMATION DESIGNATED AS CONFIDENTIAL PURSUANT TO ECF 176 CONTAINED IN DEFENDANTS' REPLY IN SUPPORT OF UBER'S MOTION REGARDING FRAUDULENT PLAINTIFF FACT SHEETS Judge: Hon. Charles R. Breyer
19 20 21 22 23 24 25	IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT LITIGATION This Document Relates to:	Case No. 3:23-md-03084-CRB (LJC) DECLARATION OF CHRISTOPHER V. COTTON IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO SEAL PERSONAL IDENTIFYING INFORMATION AND INFORMATION DESIGNATED AS CONFIDENTIAL PURSUANT TO ECF 176 CONTAINED IN DEFENDANTS' REPLY IN SUPPORT OF UBER'S MOTION REGARDING FRAUDULENT PLAINTIFF FACT SHEETS Judge: Hon. Charles R. Breyer
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT LITIGATION This Document Relates to:	Case No. 3:23-md-03084-CRB (LJC) DECLARATION OF CHRISTOPHER V. COTTON IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO SEAL PERSONAL IDENTIFYING INFORMATION AND INFORMATION DESIGNATED AS CONFIDENTIAL PURSUANT TO ECF 176 CONTAINED IN DEFENDANTS' REPLY IN SUPPORT OF UBER'S MOTION REGARDING FRAUDULENT PLAINTIFF FACT SHEETS Judge: Hon. Charles R. Breyer

I, Christopher V. Cotton, declare as follows:

- 1. I am an attorney at Shook, Hardy & Bacon L.L.P., counsel of record for Defendants Uber Technologies, Inc., Rasier, LLC, and Rasier-CA, LLC (collectively, "Uber" or "Defendants"). I offer this Declaration in the above-captioned matter in support of Uber's Administrative Motion to Seal Personal Identifying Information and Information Designated as Confidential Pursuant to ECF 176 Contained in its Reply in Support of Uber's Motion Regarding Fraudulent Plaintiff Fact Sheets (the "Sealing Motion").
- 2. The Plaintiff Fact Sheets and Defendant Fact Sheets which Uber seeks to seal in this Sealing Motion are designated as Confidential under the parties' Protective Order. *See* ECF 176; *see also* Exs. 1-5 to Uber's Reply in Support of its Motion Regarding Fraudulent Plaintiff Fact Sheets.
- 3. Under the Protective Order, Uber may not file confidential material such as Plaintiff Fact Sheets and Defendant Fact Sheets "in the public record in this Action" without first filing a motion to seal. ECF 176 ¶ 12.5.
- 4. Counsel for Uber previously met and conferred with the Nachawati and Chaffin Luhana firms concerning the sealing of personally identifying information ("PII") in connection with Uber's Motion for Entry of (1) an Order to Show Cause Why Plaintiffs Who Have Submitted Non-Bona Fide Receipts Should Not Be Dismissed with Prejudice and (2) a Case Management Order Addressing Certain Plaintiffs Who Have Not Submitted Receipts. ECF 3602-1. Counsel, including counsel for Nachawati Law Group, indicated that they did not oppose sealing PII. The Court granted Uber's motion to seal. ECF 3616. Some of the sealed information contained in the instant Sealing Motion is similar to the PII that has already been filed under seal and unopposed.
- 5. Counsel for Uber also previously made diligent efforts to meet and confer with Plaintiffs' counsel concerning the sealing of PII in connection with Uber's Motion for Entry of an Order to Show Cause Why 6 Plaintiffs Who Have Submitted Non-Bona Fide Receipts Should Not Be Dismissed with Prejudice. Those efforts are detailed in my declaration in support of Uber's motion to seal the PII in that motion. ECF 3783-1. Counsel for Uber have not received any communications from any Plaintiff's counsel regarding opposition to the sealing of the material detailed in ECF 3783. Some of the sealed information in this Sealing Motion is similar to the PII that was included in Uber's prior motion to seal.

6. On the basis provided above, the Court granted Uber's Administrative Motion to Seal Personal Identifying Information Contained in Defendants' Motion for Entry of Third Receipts Order and Accompanying Documents. ECF 4142. Some of the sealed information contained in this Sealing Motion is similar to the PII that has already been filed under seal.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: December 27, 2025 Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Christopher V. Cotton

CHRISTOPHER V. COTTON (admitted *Pro Hac Vice*) ccotton@shb.com

SHOOK, HARDY & BACON L.L.P.
2555 Grand Blvd.
Kansas City, MO 64108

Telephone: (816) 474-6550

Attorney for Defendants
UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., RASIER, LLC, and RASIER-CA, LLC

FILER'S ATTESTATION

I am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file this document. In compliance with Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I attest that the signatories above concurred in this filing.

Dated: December 27, 2025 /s/ Laura Vartain Horn
Laura Vartain Horn